

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.nspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------|---|----------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/053,658 | 01/24/2002 | Hiromi Nambu | 218360US0 | 9726 | |
| 22850 | 7590 07/28/2003 | | | | |
| · | OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. | | | EXAMINER | |
| | UKE STREET ANDRIA, VA 22314 FU | | FUBARA, BI | BARA, BLESSING M | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1615 DATE MAILED: 07/28/2003 | f | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| • | <u> </u> | | | | | |
|---|---|------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
| | | 10/053,658 | NAMBU ET AL. | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | | Blessing M. Fubara | 1615 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 J | anuary 2002 . | | | | |
| 2a)□ | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi | s action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| · | on of Claims | • | • | | | |
| | Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application. Ia) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw | yn from consideration | | | | |
| | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | m nom consideration. | • | | | |
| | 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5-8</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| · | 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4 and 9</u> is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | |
| a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: | | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | | |
| Attachment(| · · | | | | | |
| 2) 🔲 Notice | of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5. | | (PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152) | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/053,658 Page 2

Art Unit: 1615

DETAILED ACTION

Examiner acknowledges receipt of declaration filed 03/25/02, change of address and power of attorney filed 01/03/03 and IDS filed 02/21/03.

Claim Objections

1. Claims 4 and 9 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim should refer to other claims in the alternative only and cannot depend from any other multiple depended claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 4 and 9 have not been further treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 3 and 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Guillaume et al. (WO 98/44898).

Guillaume discloses a depilatory aqueous gel composition (claim 12) that comprises cross-linked acrylic polymer or cross-linked polyacrylamide (claims 1 and 2), polyvinyl pyrrolidone (claims 1, 4 and 5), a depilating agent such as potassium thioglycolate or thioglycerol (claims 1 and 7), urea, thiourea, dithioerythritol, ethoxydiglycol or methylpropyldiol (claim 8) and a buffer system of silicate, arginine, nicotinate, polyethylenimine or phosphate (claim 8). The viscosity of Guillaume's gel composition is of the order of 1,000 Pa.s (page 4,

Application/Control Number: 10/053,658

Art Unit: 1615

lines 15-19). Guillaume's composition contains water (page 12, line 14). Since the polyacrylamide is cross-linked, the composition contains a cross-linking agent.

The claims are treated as product/composition claims and "Product-By-Process claims are not limited to the manipulations of the recited steps, only the structure implied by the steps." [E] ven though product-by-process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process." In re Thorpe, 777 bF.2d 695, 698, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985).

The teachings of Guillaume meet the limitations of the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 2 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Guillaume et al. (WO 98/44898) in view of Doi et al. (US 4,839,345).

Guillaume teaches the gel depilatory composition of the instant claim except that

Guillaume does not teach polyvalent metal salt as cross-linking agents. However, Doi discloses
that polyacrylic acid or polyacrylate polymers can be cross-linked with metal salts such as
calcium chloride and magnesium chloride. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of

Application/Control Number: 10/053,658 Page 4

Art Unit: 1615

ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use metal salts such as calcium chloride or magnesium chloride to cross link polyacrylic acid polymer. One having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to cross link polyacrylic acid polymer with metal salts

.

with the expectation of cross-linking the polymer.

Observation:

In claim 3, line 1, ---further--- is misspelled "further."

6. The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence

of all possible minor errors. Applicants' cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of

which applicants may become aware in the specification.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Blessing M. Fubara whose telephone number is 703-308-8374.

The examiner can normally be reached on 7 a.m. to 3:30 p.m. (Monday to Friday).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Thurman K. Page can be reached on 703-308-2927. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3592 for regular

communications and 703-305-3592 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1234.

Blessing Fubara Athabaru

Patent Examiner
Tech. Center 1600

July 25, 2003